

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,976		01/02/2004	Douglas H. Petit	1555-003	9680
26824	7590	12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
ALEX RHODES , UNIT NO. 9				CHARLES, MARCUS	
50168 PONTIAC TRAIL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIXOM,	WIXOM, MI 48393			3682	
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,976	PETIT, DOUGLAS H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marcus Charles	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	er. e: a) accepted or b) dobjected or drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first action relating to serial application number 10/749,976 filed 01-02-2004. Claims 1-12 are currently pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) 1. because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: In figs 3, there are no description for reference character (40) in the specification. In addition, figure 7 has a reference line depicting the pivot block with no reference character attached to the line. It appears the reference character (45) should be attached the line to depict the pivot block. Furthermore, it appears that the reference character "48" depicting the motor should be -44-- because the specification indicated the motor as "44" (see figs. 1, 3, 7 and 8). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,976 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In page 3, line 15, the reference numeral "20" should be -21--.

In page 3, line 20, "37" should be -27--.

In page 4, line 6, the reference "46" should be -36--. The end cap is -36--.

In page 4, lines 7 and, the "spring seat" is --33-- and not "44".

In page 4, line 8, "treaded fasteners 48" should be --threaded fasteners 38--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 3 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 9-10, respectively, "said push rod" and "said coupling apparatus" lack antecedent basis. In claim 3, "said tapered aperture" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3682

6. Claims 1-2, 4-7 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in Smith (1,515,445) in view Cooney (1,870,711), Steffes et al. (4,922,749) and Chang (4,084,305). Cooney discloses an apparatus (fig. 1) for manually uncoupling a pulley. The apparatus comprising means for disengaging the pulley from a pulley shaft (B), a retractor member (1) attached to the pulley (A), a link (6) for causing the retractor to disengage the pulley. Smith fails to disclose the belt drive system have a tapered section. Steffes et al. discloses a belt drive (figs. 6-7) includes a tattered section extending from a power source (16) wherein the tapered section is coupling section between the pulley and the power shaft. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the coupling connection of Smith so that is comprises a tapered member in view of Steffes et al. in order to allow for the assembling and dismantling of the pulley with less force when using a single tool.

In addition, Steffes et al. also fails to disclose the tool is a toggle linkage. Chang disclose a toggle linkage (fig. 5) including a first pair of diverging toggle links (21), a second pair of toggle links (22) with end portions pivotally connected to the ends of the first pair diverging links, a sleeve (20) for pivotally mounting the pair of links for rotation about an axis; means (23/24) for operating the toggle linkage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the tool of Smith with a toggle linkage of Chang in order to reduce the force and time required to remove the pulley.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,976

Art Unit: 3682

In claims 2, and 6-7, Smith in view of Chang inherently discloses the claimed invention.

In addition, regarding claims 4-5, the combination of Smith, Steffes et al. and Chang fail to disclose the angle of the taped section and the material from which the tapered member is made from. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the tapered section so that the angle is about 3 degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the tapered member out of aluminum, since it has been held that aluminum is a lighter material an is used in the art to reduce weight and it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a meter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 3, 9-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,976

Art Unit: 3682

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cooney (1,870,711), Somerville (5,167,057), Kiebler (6,266,860), Williams (6,665,918), Wilson (7,007,359) and Abdelmoula et al. (US 2001/0032385) disclose a pulley-removing tool. Reese et al. (4,826,467) and Dissert et al. (5,048,657) disclose a belt drive system with a tapered shaft coupling section.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MARCUS CHARLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
December 06, 2006

Page 6